

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/909,186	07/19/2001	Hideji Tajima	10287.46	9114
27683	7590 09/14/2005		EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			CROSS, LATOYA I	
901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	1743

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Evaminar Initiated Intensions Summan	09/909,186	TAJIMA, HIDEJI
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jill A. Warden	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Jill A. Warden</u> .	(3)	
(2) Randall Brown.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 September 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	「WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separatid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance o	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		•
	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative called to indicate that there were some confusing items in the file. With no response from an after final filed in February, applicant's filed a request for continued examination in June. On June 23, 2005,, an Office action was mailed, followed by a second Office action on September 9, 2005, which was identical to the first. Examiner indicated that an interview summary from April, which re-openend prosecution on the application was missing from the image file wrapper. No RCE was necessary and the Office action mailed June 23 was the action which re-openend prosecution on the file. Supervisor Warden indicated that he duplicate Office action mailed September 9, 2005 would be withdrawn and the RCE would be removed from the file. Applicants need to respond to the Office action filed June 23, 2005 and may request refund of the RCE fee charged them, as no such RCE was needed. A copy of the April 18, 2005 Interview Summary is attached hereto and will be entered into the image file wrapper..